

ತೆಗೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಯತಕ್ಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಈ ಬೋರ್‌ವರ್ಗಗಳು ಏನು ಕೆಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಿವೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ತಕ್ಷಣ ರಿಪೇರಿ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ತಕ್ಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಖಂಡಿತ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈಗ ೩೦ ಬಾವಿಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ದುರಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಬೇಕಾದುದು ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ೨೨೦ ಬಾವಿಗಳು ದುರಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪಡೆದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಿವೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗನೆ ರಿಪೇರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Matter under Rule 312.

re: Death of Smt. Thimmakka of Devanahalli under mysterious circumstances.

†SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM (K.G.F.).—Sir, this is a matter regarding death of a Scheduled Caste lady in Devanahalli Village. This happened on 16th March 1978. This lady was in love with a local man and she became pregnant. So, she was insisting that he should marry her. This man took her out at 4 O'Clock in the morning on 16th March 1978 stating that he would marry her at Bangalore. This was seen by two persons of that village viz. Muniyamma and Chellappa. Instead of taking her to Bangalore, the lady has disappeared and the body was found in a tank in the evening. Of course, the police took possession of the body and conducted an inquest. A post mortem was also conducted. In the post mortem the local doctor says that it is a drowning case. He also says that the body has been eaten by certain creatures in the tank water. Being not satisfied with the report of the post mortem, the parents have made a complaint to the police against certain individuals, but the police have not taken any action because the post mortem report says that it is a drowning case. I would like to know from the Chief Minister what type of drowning it is; is it accidental or suicidal? For that they should have taken the antecedents into consideration. The plea was that it was homicidal, i.e. foul play. Even for that there was no investigation. The case has been pending since March and the helpless parents have made repeated complaints. So, the body was examined on 30th March and a second post mortem was also done and it mysteriously coincided with the first post mortem report. The parents feel that their beloved daughter had not drowned. They suspect some foul play and they have been persistently representing to the Government and to the police. The point is that one life has gone and they are asking for protection for other lives. There is no protection for them. The Police Inspector who has been there for the last 3 years is not giving them protection. There are two dangers for the parents: one is from the police in that they are not able to give

them protection and the other danger is from the culprit who is backed by theatre owners and political goondas. For the last so many days the parents are staging dharna before Vidhana Soudha. It is natural that so many officers have become in one way or the other the relatives of Ministers. The local doctor was transferred and again he has been retained there. My complaint is that the parents are not getting proper protection from the police.

The local Sub Inspector of Police harasses them and they are afraid to go to the village. That is the reason why they are sitting before Vidhana Soudha. Is it not the intention of the Government to give them protection? I know the intention of the Hon. Chief Minister is to give protection to the poor. Then, how is it the police is not acting properly? They have not adjusted themselves to the changed situation. The feeling that they must be the friends of the poor is not there. In this case the person happens to be a Harijan and they have lost their daughter and investigation done is defective and the post mortem is defective. It is said that aquatic creatures might have caused injuries to the body.

MR. SPEAKER.— The hon. member has to be brief.

SRI C. M. ARMUGHAM.— This is a vital question. I know the Chair is generous in permitting to raise important points. I know time of the House is valuable. I will complete my statement early.

If the doctor were to say that injuries on the body might be due to aquatic creatures eating the blood, it is not correct. If it is a case of homicide it is also not correct. The body must have been dragged on a rough surface and she might have resisted for her life. Therefore, one thing that I want to represent to the Government is that there is no protection given to the parents of the diseased. There is no peace in the locality. They are weak and poor people not able to resist the attack from the other side.

† SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister).— On 17th March 1978 the body of Smt. Thimmakka found floating in Karinayakana tank near Devanahalli. On a complaint lodged by Kividu Muniyappa resident of Harijan colony, Devanahalli town that his daughter Smt. Thimmakka has been murdered by Sri Muniswamy residing in the locality, a case in U.D.R. No. 8/78 u/s 174 Cr. P.C. was registered. The case was investigated by P.S.I. Devanahalli.

A statement on the subject has been made on 28-3-1978 on the floor of the House on an adjournment motion tabled by Sri C. M. Ibrahim and three other members.

The case has been taken over by COD on 4-5-1978. On 20-3-1978 Shri Kivudu Muniyappa presented a petition to the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore, stating that Devanahalli police have not conducted the inquest properly and the Medical Officer who conducted the PM examination has not given correct opinion. As per the orders of the Sub Divisional Magistrate the body was exhumed and a second Post Mortem examination was conducted by the Professor of Forensic Medicine, Victoria Hospital, Bangalore. He has opined that the death was due to drowning. The Medical Officer Devanahalli who had conducted the post mortem examination earlier had also opined that the death was due to asphyxia as a result of drowning.

There were three complaints by the parents and the relatives of the deceased, that they are being constantly threatened by certain goondas; as detailed below.

1. On 26-4-1978 Smt. Muniyamma, a relative of Smt. Thimmakka came to the Police Station with her husband Sri Pillappa and orally complained that one Kalappa father of Muniswamy and his people attacked them near the house by abusing in filthy words. Devanahalli Police have enquired into the complaint and warned the parties against the breach of peace and the situation has cooled down now.

2. On 6-6-1978 Sri Munivenkatappa lodged a written complaint that Shri Krishnappa and a few others pelted stones on the night of 5-6-1978 when there were no male members in the house. There were no witnesses to this incident. The enquiry by the Devanahalli Police has revealed that the incident reported has not occurred that night in the colony.

From 6-5-1978 to 27-5-1978 a police constable was deputed from 8.00 a.m. to 9.00 p.m. to watch the situation in the Harijan colony. From 8-6-1978 to this day a police constable is being posted regularly to watch the situation round the clock.

3. On 4-6-1978 Smt. Muniyamma sister of Kivudu Muniyappa complained that on the night of 3-6-1978 some unknown culprits wrenched the window bars and committed theft of cash of Rs 1500 and two sarees. The complainant suspected Muniswamy and 5 others. A case in Cr. No. 54/78 under section 457-380 I.P.C. has been registered and the investigation has been taken up. The A. S. P. visited the spot. The persons suspected were produced before the JMFC where they were released on bail. Thus, the police have entertained all the complaints received at the Police Station and have taken prompt action to protect the parents and relatives of the deceased.

The COD during investigation has examined 60 witnesses and the evidence regarding the circumstances under which the girl met with death is being collected. So far COD has not come across any definite evidence on which a murder case could be registered or any arrest made. The case is still under investigation. Necessary protection is given to residents in the Harijan colony.

Under these circumstances it is not proper to apprehend that the case is not being investigated or that the police are negligent. I can assure the hon. member that the investigation will continue and if there are any more persons to give information I would only request them to give any evidence so that it can help the police to investigate. So far as protection is concerned I can assure the hon. member that now a constable is kept in the colony with a view to give protection. If more protection is necessary, Government will not hesitate to give.

೧೦-೦೦ ಎ. ಎಂ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ಕಕ್ಕಿಲಾಯ.— ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್ಮಗಂರವರು ಹೇಳಿದಹಾಗೆ ಯಾರು ಮಡಿದುಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅವರ ತಂದೆಯವರು ಧರಣಿ ಕುಳಿತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಹಳ ಭಯ ಪಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕೂಡ, ಹಲವುಬಾರಿ ಇನ್‌ಸಿಡೆಂಟ್ ಆಗಿ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಅವರ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಇರುವವರು ಅವರನ್ನು ಭಯಪಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಾನ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಬಲ್‌ನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾತುಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಟಿ ಪ್ರೊಟೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಿ. ಒ. ಡಿ. ಯವರಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದರ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರು ಅಪಾದಿತರು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿದು ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೂಕ್ತಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ It is a process. It takes time. They cannot do things beyond their limit. ಅಕಸ್ಮಾತ್ ಕೆಲವು ಪಾರ್ಟೀಸ್ ಸಿಕ್ಕದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ಕೇಸ್ ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಸಿ.ಒ.ಡಿ. ಆಫೀಸರ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪಾರ್ಟಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅನುಮಾನ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದರೆ ಬೇರೆಯವರನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಪ್ರೊಟೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಅವರು ಹೋಗಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಯನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ. ಹೋದ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್ ಸಿ.ಒ.ಡಿ. ಪೊಲೀಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನೆನಪು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಚಾರವೆಂದರೆ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಆರ್ಮಗಂರವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸರ್‌ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಯಾರು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಲಿಗೇಷನ್ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ, ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷವಾದರೂ ಇಂಥ ಒಂದು ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ಟ್ರಾನ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯಾದ ನಂಬಿಕೆ ಮೂಡುತ್ತದೆ. When serious allegations are made is it not fair that those officers are transferred and some others posted in their place so that the people may gain some confidence ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister)—Supposing I put it the other way everywhere an allegation is made ? This becomes a dangerous precedent. If a person is transferred in the usual course after 3 years,

that is another matter. I do not know who that officer is. Suppose on the basis of an allegation I transfer him. There will be umpteem number of instances where allegations are made. Government must have some satisfaction that there is some basis, and in the absence of which if I transfer a person—I think the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition will appreciate—it will create a precedent wherein if I do not want an officer I may make an allegation and transfer him. Some criminal cases are there in every place. In this particular incident, so far I have not been able to find any basis for this allegation. In case the local police are not able to give protection, then I can at least suspect that there is something which is preventing this police officer from acting conscientiously. I have not had any such evidence. Nevertheless I will again try to find out what exactly the position is in the light of what has been stated and see how best protection could be given.

(ii) *re : Atrocities committed by three Autorickshaw drivers in Bangalore on 25th June 1978.*

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್ (ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ)—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ರೂಲ್ ನಂಬರ್ ೩೧೨ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೫ನೇ ಜೂನ್ ೧೯೭೮ರಂದು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಬಡ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದಂಥ ಮಹಿಳೆಯ ಮಾನಭಂಗವನ್ನು ಮೂರು ಜನ ಆಟೋರಿಕ್ಷಾ ಡ್ರೈವರುಗಳು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನ ವನ್ನು ಸೆಳೆಯಬೇಕು. ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ವಿಚಾರ ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೂಡ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಚಾರ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಹಿಳೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಕೆಯ ಗಂಡನ ಎದುರಿಗೆ ಸತತವಾಗಿ ಮನಭಂಗ ಮಾಡಿದಂಥ ವಿಚಾರ ಏನು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಹೀನಕೃತ್ಯವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಟೋರಿಕ್ಷಾಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಅವರ ವರ್ತನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸೌಜನ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತಲೇ ಇದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಆಗರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ದುರ್ಘಟನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದ ಜನತೆ ಎಂದೂ ಮರೆಯುವಂತಿಲ್ಲ. ಈವತ್ತಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರು ಆಟೋರಿಕ್ಷಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಮಾಡದಹಾಗೆ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ರಾತ್ರಿ ೧೦ ಗಂಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಟೋರಿಕ್ಷಾದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಂಥ ದುರ್ಘಟನೆಯನ್ನು ಮೂರು ಜನ ಪೊಲೀಸ್‌ನವರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ನಂತರ ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿದರು ಆದರೆ ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ದುರಘಟನೆ ನಡೆದುಹೋಗಿತ್ತು. ೮-೧೦ ಬಾರಿ ಆ ಮಹಿಳೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಆತ್ಮಾಚಾರ ಆಕೆಯ ಗಂಡನ ಎದುರಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು. ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದ ನಾಗರಿಕರು ತತ್ತರಿಸಿ ತಲ್ಲಣಗೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೀವ್ರವಾದ ಕ್ರಮ ಏನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಜನ ಇವತ್ತು ಅವರನ್ನು ಗುಂಡಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂದರೂ ಪರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂಥ ಒಂದು ಕ್ರಮ ಏನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೀರಿ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್—ಗುಂಡಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಲ್ಲಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಅಷ್ಟು ಸಂಬಂಧವಲ್ಲ. ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮದೇವರೂ ವಕೀಲರಲ್ಲ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಇರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮೈಕೇಲ್ ಬಿ. ಫರ್ನಾಂಡೀಸ್—ಅದು ರಾಮದೇವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಲ್ಲ. ಜನರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೆಂದು ಅವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister)—On 25th June 1978 at about 10-00 P.M. one person 22 years a Cycle repairer resident of the Pipe Line slum at R.P.C. Lay-out, accompanied by his wife aged, 18 years, left for Shanthala Theatre to witness a picture. They had